Insurgency in Nigeria as a Symptom of Poverty and Political Alienation: The Case of Boko Haram

Onen Iwara Eno, M.Sc.
Independent Researcher, Port Harcourt, Rivers State, Nigeria
Corresponding Author: Onen Iwara Eno, oneniwara393@gmail.com
ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0004-7346-9798
Political Science, Peace and Conflict Studies,
Criminology, Security Studies,
Insurgency and Violent Extremism.

https://doi.org/10.56201/jpslr.vol.12.no11.2026.pg78.85

Abstract
The Boko Haram insurgency represents one of the most persistent security and governance challenges in contemporary Nigeria. Since the emergence of the movement in northeastern Nigeria, its activities have contributed to loss of life, displacement, destruction of livelihoods, disruption of education, and weakening of community institutions. Although Boko Haram is commonly discussed in terms of religious extremism and terrorism, its emergence and persistence must also be examined within the broader context of socioeconomic deprivation, political alienation, governance deficits, and institutional weaknesses. This article examines the relationship between poverty, political alienation, and the Boko Haram insurgency in Nigeria. It adopts a qualitative desk-review methodology, drawing on published academic literature and reports from credible international and policy institutions. The analysis argues that poverty and political alienation should not be treated as automatic causes of violent extremism; rather, they can create vulnerabilities that militant organisations exploit through ideological mobilisation, coercion, financial incentives, and promises of belonging or political change. The article further observes that violence itself deepens poverty and alienation by destroying livelihoods, displacing communities, reducing access to public services, and undermining trust in government. The relationship is therefore potentially cyclical. The article concludes that a sustainable response to Boko Haram requires more than military operations. It requires accountable governance, inclusive political participation, employment opportunities, accessible education, protection of civilians, community-based prevention, and credible rehabilitation and reintegration programmes. These measures should complement lawful security operations and address the social and institutional conditions that allow violent extremism to persist.

Keywords: *Boko Haram; insurgency; poverty; political alienation; violent extremism; governance; Nigeria; peacebuilding.*

1. Introduction

Insurgency has become a major concern in Nigeria's national security and development discourse. Among the most consequential examples is the Boko Haram insurgency, which emerged in northeastern Nigeria and developed into a violent conflict with serious national and

regional implications. The conflict has resulted in deaths, forced displacement, destruction of infrastructure, disruption of livelihoods, and prolonged insecurity across affected communities. Its consequences have extended beyond the immediate battlefield, affecting education, agriculture, commerce, public administration, and relationships between citizens and state institutions. Boko Haram is frequently analysed through the lenses of religious extremism, terrorism, radical ideology, and counterterrorism. These perspectives are important because the movement's ideological claims and deliberate use of violence are central to understanding its conduct. Nevertheless, a complete explanation must also consider the social, economic, and political conditions within which the movement emerged and recruited supporters. Poverty, unemployment, inequality, weak public services, perceived injustice, and political exclusion have all featured in debates about insecurity in northern Nigeria.

The relationship between poverty and insurgency, however, requires careful interpretation. Poverty does not inevitably produce terrorism, and the majority of people experiencing economic hardship do not participate in violent movements. Similarly, political dissatisfaction does not automatically lead to armed rebellion. Many economically disadvantaged communities pursue peaceful political, religious, or civic responses to their circumstances. The analytical question is therefore not whether poverty alone causes insurgency, but how socioeconomic vulnerability may interact with political grievances, ideology, coercion, social networks, and weaknesses in state institutions. Political alienation is especially relevant to this discussion. It refers to a condition in which individuals or communities feel excluded from political decision-making, inadequately represented, unfairly treated, or disconnected from institutions that exercise authority over their lives. When citizens perceive that public institutions are inaccessible, corrupt, discriminatory, or incapable of providing security and justice, confidence in government may decline. Violent organizations can exploit such dissatisfaction, although they may also deepen the very insecurity and suffering, they claim to oppose. The Boko Haram conflict also illustrates the relationship between insecurity and underdevelopment. Violence destroys property, disrupts markets, discourages investment, displaces workers, and restricts access to schools and healthcare. These effects can intensify poverty and weaken confidence in public authorities. In turn, the resulting hardship may make affected populations more vulnerable to coercion, recruitment, and manipulation. This process can produce a cycle in which insecurity and deprivation reinforce one another. This article examines Boko Haram as a case through which the relationship between poverty, political alienation, and violent insurgency can be explored. It does not argue that socioeconomic explanations excuse terrorism or diminish the responsibility of perpetrators. Rather, it contends that understanding the conditions that militant groups exploit is necessary for developing more effective and sustainable prevention strategies.

The central argument is that poverty and political alienation are best understood as possible enabling conditions within a wider combination of ideological, institutional, political, and security factors. Consequently, Nigeria's response to Boko Haram should combine lawful security measures with inclusive governance, socioeconomic development, civilian protection, justice, and community-based peacebuilding.

1.1 Statement of the Problem

Despite extensive security operations against Boko Haram, affected communities have continued to face serious humanitarian, social, and economic challenges. Military action is necessary to protect civilians from armed attacks and restore security, but military measures alone cannot resolve every condition associated with the emergence and persistence of violent extremism.

A central problem is the tendency to explain insurgency through a single dominant factor. Explanations focused exclusively on religious ideology may overlook socioeconomic and political grievances that militant organisations exploit. Conversely, explanations that attribute terrorism primarily to poverty may overlook ideological commitment, organizational strategy, coercion, criminal activity, and the deliberate choices of perpetrators.

A second problem concerns political alienation. Where citizens believe that government institutions are unresponsive, public resources are unfairly distributed, or security agencies operate without sufficient accountability, distrust can grow. Such perceptions do not automatically generate insurgency, but they can undermine cooperation between communities and authorities. This is particularly consequential when intelligence sharing, civilian protection, and community confidence are essential to counterinsurgency efforts.

A third problem is the mutually reinforcing relationship between violence and underdevelopment. Insurgent attacks and counterinsurgency operations can disrupt livelihoods, education, public services, and local markets. Displacement and economic loss may then increase dependency and social vulnerability. Without sustained recovery efforts, the consequences of conflict can remain long after immediate military gains.

The problem addressed by this article is therefore the need for a balanced explanation of how poverty and political alienation may interact with ideology, governance weaknesses, and insecurity in the Boko Haram conflict, and how that understanding can inform a comprehensive response.

1.2 Aim and Objectives

The aim of this article is to examine the relationship between poverty, political alienation, and the Boko Haram insurgency in Nigeria.

The specific objectives are to:

1. Explain the concepts of insurgency, poverty, and political alienation.
2. Examine the socioeconomic and political conditions relevant to the emergence and persistence of Boko Haram.
3. Analyse how poverty and perceived political exclusion may create vulnerabilities that violent organizations exploit.
4. Discuss how insurgency reinforces poverty, displacement, and distrust in public institutions.
5. Identify policy measures that combine security, accountable governance, socioeconomic inclusion, and peacebuilding.

1.3 Research Questions

The article addresses the following questions:

1. How can poverty and political alienation help explain the context in which Boko Haram emerged and operated?
2. Through what mechanisms may socioeconomic vulnerability and political grievances be exploited by violent organizations?
3. How does insurgency contribute to further poverty and political alienation?
4. What policy measures could address the conditions that sustain violent extremism while protecting the rights and security of affected populations?

1.4 Significance of the Study

This article contributes to discussions in political science, peace and conflict studies, criminology, security studies, and development policy. It provides a framework for understanding insurgency as a multidimensional challenge rather than a problem that can be explained by a single cause. The article may be useful to policymakers, security institutions, researchers, development organizations, peacebuilding practitioners, and community leaders. Its emphasis on the interaction between insecurity, deprivation, and political trust highlights the importance of integrating security interventions with civilian protection, justice, institutional accountability, and sustainable recovery.

1.5 Scope of the Study

The article focuses on Boko Haram in Nigeria, particularly the conflict's origins and consequences in northeastern Nigeria. It considers poverty and political alienation alongside ideology, governance, recruitment, insecurity, and the effects of violence on communities.

The study is analytical rather than a new field investigation. It does not claim to establish the statistical strength of a causal relationship between poverty and insurgent participation. Its conclusions are based on a qualitative interpretation of existing literature and institutional reports.

2. Conceptual Clarification

2.1 Insurgency

Insurgency generally refers to organised resistance against an established government or political authority, frequently involving armed violence and efforts to challenge state control. Insurgent organizations differ in their objectives, ideological foundations, organisational structures, and relationships with civilian populations.

Insurgency should be distinguished from terrorism, although the two can overlap. Terrorism commonly refers to the use or threat of violence against civilians or other targets to intimidate populations or influence political outcomes. An insurgent organization may use terrorist tactics as part of a broader campaign. Boko Haram's attacks on civilians, schools, religious institutions, and public facilities demonstrate the importance of examining both the movement's challenge to state authority and its deliberate use of violence.

Understanding insurgency requires attention to its ideological claims, organisational capacity, leadership, sources of support, recruitment methods, relationship with local communities, and interactions with state security forces. No single socioeconomic indicator can adequately explain these dimensions.

2.2 Poverty

Poverty is a condition of insufficient resources and opportunities to meet basic needs and participate meaningfully in society. It includes income deprivation but also encompasses limited access to education, healthcare, adequate housing, employment, security, infrastructure, and social protection. In conflict-affected communities, poverty can be both a pre-existing vulnerability and a consequence of violence. People may lose their livelihoods through displacement, destruction of farms and businesses, closure of markets, or interruption of public services. Households that were already economically vulnerable may be particularly affected by these shocks.

Poverty can create conditions that armed organizations exploit. For example, a person without reliable income may be more susceptible to material inducements, while a displaced family may face heightened exposure to coercion. However, these possibilities should not be confused with an assumption that poor people are inherently violent or more likely to become extremists.

2.3 Political Alienation

Political alienation describes a perceived separation between individuals or communities and the political institutions that govern them. It may arise when people believe that they have little influence over public decisions, receive unequal treatment, lack meaningful representation, or cannot obtain justice through legitimate channels. Political alienation may be associated with corruption, weak accountability, exclusion from political processes, unequal distribution of public services, and abusive conduct by officials. Such experiences can reduce institutional trust and weaken the perceived legitimacy of government. Nevertheless, alienation is not equivalent to support for insurgency. Citizens may respond to political exclusion through elections, peaceful protest, community organising, litigation, religious engagement, or other nonviolent means. The connection between alienation and armed mobilisation depends on additional factors, including leadership, ideology, organization, opportunity, and the conduct of state institutions.

2.4 Violent Extremism

Violent extremism involves the endorsement, support, or use of violence to advance ideological, political, religious, or other objectives. It should be distinguished from peaceful religious belief, political opposition, and legitimate criticism of government.

This distinction is essential in Nigeria, where religious and political identities are diverse. Treating entire religious or ethnic communities as responsible for the actions of militant organizations can stigmatise innocent people, damage community relations, and undermine cooperation with security institutions.

A sound analysis must therefore distinguish between the ideology and conduct of violent organizations and the wider populations among whom they operate.

2.5 The Relationship Between the Concepts

Poverty, political alienation, and insurgency are related concepts, but their relationship is neither automatic nor uniform. Poverty may increase vulnerability by reducing livelihood options and access to services. Political alienation may weaken trust in institutions. Ideological narratives may provide a justification for violence, while militant networks may supply social belonging, material incentives, coercion, or organizational direction.

These conditions can interact differently across individuals and communities. Some people may join armed groups because of ideological commitment; others may be recruited through coercion, family or social networks, financial incentives, revenge, or a combination of motives. A credible explanation must account for this diversity rather than assume that all participants share the same motivation.

3. Methodology

3.1 Research Design

The article adopts a qualitative desk-review research design. This approach is appropriate because the objective is to interpret existing explanations of Boko Haram and examine how poverty and political alienation may interact with other factors associated with violent

insurgency. The article does not present newly collected survey data, interviews, focus-group discussions, or original statistical analysis. It therefore makes no claim to have measured the prevalence of particular recruitment motives or established a statistically significant causal relationship.

3.2 Sources of Information

The review draws on existing academic literature and institutional publications concerning Boko Haram, violent extremism, governance, recruitment, socioeconomic vulnerability, and conflict-affected communities. Relevant sources include scholarly work on the political and socioeconomic context of Boko Haram, the International Crisis Group's analysis of the insurgency, United Nations Development Programme research on pathways into and out of violent extremism in Africa, and published research on livelihood vulnerability in affected areas.
The sources are interpreted in relation to the article's research questions. Particular attention is paid to distinctions between structural conditions, individual motivations, organizational strategies, and the consequences of violence.

3.3 Method of Analysis

The article uses thematic analysis at the conceptual level. The principal themes are:
1. Socioeconomic deprivation and livelihood vulnerability.
2. Political exclusion and institutional distrust.
3. Ideology, recruitment, coercion, and organisational mobilisation.
4. The effects of insurgency on poverty and public legitimacy.
5. Integrated approaches to prevention, security, and recovery.

The themes are compared to identify areas of convergence, differences in emphasis, and implications for policy. The analysis is explanatory and interpretive rather than statistical.

3.4 Limitations

The article is limited by its reliance on secondary sources. Existing studies may differ in geographic coverage, research methods, definitions, and the periods they examine. Some findings may not apply equally to all affected communities or to every organization operating in the region. Furthermore, the motives of people who participate in violent organisations are difficult to establish comprehensively. Available accounts may be affected by recall limitations, security constraints, or differences between individuals' stated reasons and the circumstances surrounding their recruitment. Accordingly, the article does not claim that poverty or political alienation is the sole, primary, or universally applicable cause of Boko Haram participation. Its purpose is to examine how these conditions may interact with other factors and to identify implications for policy.

4. Theoretical Framework

4.1 Relative Deprivation Perspective

Relative deprivation concerns the perception that one's circumstances or opportunities are unfairly inferior to those of others, particularly when people believe that their expectations are being denied or that public institutions distribute resources unjustly.
This perspective is relevant to political alienation because dissatisfaction may arise not only from absolute poverty but also from perceived exclusion, unequal treatment, or the belief that certain groups receive opportunities denied to others. Where such perceptions persist, confidence in

institutions may decline. Applied to Boko Haram, the perspective suggests that socioeconomic hardship may become politically significant when it is interpreted through narratives of injustice, exclusion, or institutional failure. Militant organizations may use these perceptions to portray the existing political order as illegitimate and to justify opposition to state authority. However, relative deprivation does not inevitably lead to violence; its effects depend on political leadership, ideology, organization, available nonviolent alternatives, and state responses. Education, effective public services, community participation, and support for victims and survivors. Rehabilitation and reintegration programmes must be individualise, lawful, and attentive to community safety. Ultimately, the relationship between poverty, political alienation, and insurgency should be understood as conditional rather than automatic. Nigeria's long-term security prospects depend not only on its capacity to respond to violence but also on the ability of institutions to protect citizens, administer justice fairly, deliver essential services, and provide credible opportunities for peaceful participation. Addressing these interconnected challenges offers a more balanced foundation for preventing violent extremism and supporting durable peace.

References

Akinola, O. (2015). Boko Haram insurgency in Nigeria: Between Islamic fundamentalism, politics, and poverty. *African Security*, *8*(1), 1–29. https://doi.org/10.1080/19392206.2015.998539

International Crisis Group. (2014, April 3). *Curbing violence in Nigeria (II): The Boko Haram insurgency* (Africa Report No. 216). https://www.crisisgroup.org/africa/west-africa/nigeria/curbing-violence-nigeria-ii-boko-haram-insurgency

Tafida, A. A., et al. (2023). Boko Haram insurgency and livelihood vulnerability of rural households in Northern Adamawa State, Nigeria. *Research in Globalization, 6*, Article 100116. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resglo.2023.100116

United Nations Development Programme. (2023). *Journey to extremism in Africa: Pathways to recruitment and disengagement*. UNDP. https://www.undp.org/africa/publications/journey-extremism-africa-pathways-recruitment-and-disengagement